pertrophic, and infectious arthritis, arthritis deformans, oesteoarthritis, lumbago, sciatica, bursitis, myositis, acute articular rheumatism, myalgia and allied rheumatoid conditions; to aid in the relief of the painful, distressing symptoms of quinsy, sore throat, pleuritic pains, neuralgias, and chest pains caused by colds; and its effectiveness as a treatment for ailments of the spinal column.

On May 3, 1938, a plea of nolo contendere having been entered by the de-

fendant, the court imposed a fine of \$100.

HARRY L. BROWN, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

28983. Adulteration and misbranding of Goody's Headache Powder. U.S. v. Goody's Inc., and A. Thad Lewallen. Pleas of nolo contendere. Fines, \$100. (F. & D. No. 40779. Sample No. 44240–C.)

This product was adulterated because of a deficiency of acetanilid, and was misbranded because it was falsely represented as being absolutely safe and

reliable and as containing no narcotic drugs.

On February 24, 1938, the United States attorney for the Middle District of North Carolina, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court an information against Goody's, Inc., and A. Thad Lewallen, an officer of the corporation, alleging shipment by said defendants in violation of the Food and Drugs Act on or about May 5, 1937, from the State of North Carolina into the State of South Carolina of a quantity of Goody's Headache Powder which was adulterated and misbranded. The article was labeled in part: "Prepared by Goody's Inc."

It was alleged to be adulterated in that its strength and purity fell below the professed standard and quality under which it was sold, since each of the powders was represented to contain 4 grains of acetanilid; whereas each of the powders contained less than the quantity represented, namely, not more

than 3.13 grains of acetanilid.

The article was alleged to be misbranded in that the statements, "Goody's are absolutely safe and reliable and can be taken with complete assurance that they contain no * * * narcotic drugs in any form," borne on the label, were false and misleading in that they represented that it was absolutely safe and reliable and contained no narcotic drugs in any form; whereas, it was not absolutely safe and reliable since it did contain narcotic drugs.

On May 4, 1938, pleas of nolo contendere were entered and the court imposed

fines in the total amount of \$100.

HARRY L. BROWN, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

28984. Misbranding of Rozel Douche Powder. Douche Powder. (F. & D. No. 42378. Sample No. 21508-D.) U. S. v. 4 Dozen Cans of Rozel condemnation and destruction.

The labeling of this product bore false and fraudulent representations regarding its curative or therapeutic effects and false and misleading representations

regarding its germicidal properties.

On May 12, 1938, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Michigan, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court a libel praying seizure and condemnation of four dozen cans of Rozel Douche Powder at Detroit, Mich., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about January 3, 1938, by Rozel Laboratories from Chicago, Ill., and charging misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs Act as amended.

Analysis showed that the cans contained a powder consisting essentially of boric acid, sodium chloride, ammonia, alum, and small amounts of phenol and menthol; and a cone consisting essentially of sodium bicarbonate and tartaric acid with small amounts of phenol and menthol. Bacteriological examination

of the cone showed that it did not possess germicidal properties.

The article was alleged to be misbranded in that the following statements in a circular contained in the package which referred to the said cone, were false and misleading when applied to an article that possessed no germicidal properties: "Germicide * The germicidal power in Rozel Effervescent * * the antiseptic used in Rozel Effervescent Cones is indisputable. its germ killing action. The minute Rozel Effervescent Cones come in contact with the fluids of the vagina they deposit their germ killing deodorant ingredients into the folds pockets and convolutions of the tissue. This offers a continuous cleansing and germ killing action over a period of several hours." Misbranding was alleged further in that the following statements borne on the can label and appearing in the said circular, regarding the curative or therapeutic effects of the article, were false and fraudulent: (Can